## Every context-free grammar over a terminal alphabet of cardinality 1 generates a regular language.

Let us consider a context-free grammar G which, without loss of generality, does not have  $\varepsilon$ -productions besides, possibly, the production  $S \to \varepsilon$ .

We want to show that if the terminal alphabet of G is a singleton, then the language L(G) generated by the grammar G is a regular language.

Given a word w, by |w| we will denote the length of w.

Let us first recall the Pumping Lemma for context-free languages.

LEMMA 1. [Pumping Lemma] Given a context-free grammar G with terminal alphabet  $\Sigma$ ,  $\exists n > 0$  such that  $\forall z \in L(G)$ , if  $|z| \ge n$  then  $\exists u, v, w, x, y \in \Sigma^*$ , such that

(1) z = uvwxy,

(2)  $vx \neq \varepsilon$ ,

- (3)  $|vwx| \leq n$ , and
- (4)  $\forall i \ge 0, uv^i w x^i y \in L(G).$

Let us assume that the terminal alphabet of G is the set  $\Sigma = \{a\}$  with cardinality 1. Since  $\Sigma$  has cardinality 1, commutativity holds, that is,  $\forall u, v \in \Sigma^*$ , uv = vu.

The following lemma easily follows from Lemma 1.

LEMMA 2. [Pumping Lemma for a Terminal Alphabet of Cardinality 1] Given a context-free grammar G with a terminal alphabet  $\Sigma$  of cardinality 1,  $\exists n > 0$  such that  $\forall z \in L(G), if |z| \ge n$  then  $\exists p \ge 0, \exists q$ , such that

- (1.1) |z| = p + q,
- $(2.1) \quad q > 0,$
- (3.1)  $\exists m, with 0 \leq m \leq p, such that 0 < m+q \leq n, and$
- (4.1)  $\forall s \in \Sigma^*, \forall i \ge 0, if |s| = p + iq then s \in L(G).$

PROOF. The final part of the statement of Lemma 1 can be rewritten as follows. By commutativity, we can absorb vx into v (note that v and x are both existentially quantified) and we get:

 $\begin{array}{l} \dots \exists u, v, w, y \in \Sigma^*, \ such \ that \\ z = uvwy, \\ v \neq \varepsilon, \\ |vw| \leq n, \ and \\ \forall i \geq 0, \ uv^i wy \in L(G). \end{array}$ 

By commutativity, we can absorb uy into u (note that u and y are both existentially quantified) and we get:

 $\dots \exists u, v, w \in \Sigma^*, \text{ such that} \\ z = uvw, \\ v \neq \varepsilon, \\ |vw| \le n, \text{ and} \\ \forall i \ge 0, uv^i w \in L(G).$ 

By commutativity we can place the v's after w, and we get:

$$\begin{array}{l} . . \exists u, v, w \in \Sigma^*, \ such \ that \\ z = uwv, \\ v \neq \varepsilon, \\ |wv| \leq n, \ and \\ \forall i \geq 0, \ uwv^i \in L(G). \end{array}$$

Let p denote |uw| and q denote |v|. By taking the lengths of the words, which are non-negative integers, we get:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \dots \exists p \ge 0, \exists q \ge 0, \exists w \in \Sigma^*, \ such \ that \\ (1.1) \quad |z| = p + q, \\ (2.1) \quad q > 0, \\ (3^*) \quad |w| + q \le n, \ and \\ (4.1) \quad \forall s \in \Sigma^*, \forall i \ge 0, \ \text{if } |s| = p + i q \ \text{then } s \in L(G). \end{array}$ 

By Condition (2.1) we can write  $\exists q$ , instead of  $\exists q \ge 0$ . Let m denote |w|. Since p = |uw|, we have that  $m \le p$ , and since q > 0, we can write  $0 < m+q \le n$ , instead of  $|w|+q \le n$ . We get:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \dots \exists p \geq 0, \exists q, \ such \ that \\ (1.1) \quad |z| = p + q, \\ (2.1) \quad q > 0, \\ (3.1) \quad \exists m, \ with \ 0 \leq m \leq p, \ such \ that \ 0 < m + q \leq n, \ and \\ (4.1) \quad \forall s \in \Sigma^*, \forall i \geq 0, \ \text{if } |s| = p + i \ q \ \text{then} \ s \in L(G). \end{array}$ 

By Condition (3.1) of Lemma 2, we can replace Condition (2.1) of Lemma 2 by the stronger condition:  $0 < q \le n$ .

Let n denote the number whose existence is asserted by Lemma 2. Let us consider the following two languages subsets of L(G):

(i)  $L < n = \{w \in L(G) \mid |w| < n\}$  and (ii)  $L \ge n = \{w \in L(G) \mid |w| \ge n\}.$ 

Obviously, we have that  $L(G) = L_{\leq n} \cup L_{\geq n}$ . Since  $L_{\leq n}$  is finite,  $L_{\leq n}$  is a regular language.

Thus, in order to show that L(G) is a regular language it is enough to show, as we now do, that also  $L_{>n}$  is a regular language.

Given any word  $z \in L_{\geq n}$ , we have that by Lemma 2, there exist  $p_0 \geq 0$  and  $q_0 > 0$  such that  $z = a^{p_0 + q_0}$  and  $a^{p_0} \in L(G)$  (take i=0).

Since  $q_0 > 0$  we have that  $p_0 < |z|$ . Now, if  $p_0 \ge n$ , starting from  $a^{p_0}$ , instead of z, we get that there exist  $p_1 \ge 0$  and  $q_1 > 0$  such that  $a^{p_0} = a^{p_1} + q_1$ , and thus,

$$z = a(p_1 + q_1) + q_0.$$

In general, there exist  $p_0, q_0, p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2, \ldots, p_h, q_h$ , and  $h \ge 0$ , such that:

$$z = a^{p_0 + q_0} =$$

$$= a^{(p_1 + q_1) + q_0} =$$

$$= a^{(p_2 + q_2) + q_1 + q_0} =$$

$$= \dots =$$

$$= a^{(p_h + q_h) + q_{h-1} + \dots + q_2 + q_1 + q_0} \qquad (\dagger)$$

where: (C1)  $p_h < n$ , and (C2) for all *i*, with  $0 \le i < h$ , we have that  $p_i \ge n$ . (Note that, when writing the expression ( $\dagger$ ), we do *not* assume that all the  $q_i$ 's are distinct.)

Since for all *i*, with  $0 \le i \le h$ , we have that  $q_i > 0$ , it is the case that for any  $z \in L_{\ge n}$ , we can always construct an expression of the form (†) satisfying (C1) and (C2).

i times

Thus, by writing i q instead of  $q + \ldots + q$ , we have that every word  $z \in L_{\geq n}$  is of the form:

$$ap_h + i_0q_0 + \ldots + i_kq_k$$

for some  $k, p_h, i_0, \ldots, i_k, q_0, \ldots, q_k$  such that:

 $(\ell 0) \ 0 \leq k,$ 

- $(\ell 1) \ 0 \le p_h < n,$
- $(\ell 2) i_0 > 0, \ldots, i_k > 0,$
- $(\ell 3) \ 0 < q_0 \le n, \ldots, 0 < q_k \le n, \text{ and}$
- $(\ell 4)$  the values of  $q_0, \ldots, q_k$  are all distinct integers and since there are at most n distinct integers r such that  $0 < r \le n$ , we have that k < n.

Thus, the language  $L_{>n}$ , is the union of languages of the form:

$$L_{\langle p_h, q_0, \dots, q_k \rangle} = \{a^{p_h + i_0 q_0 + \dots + i_k q_k} \mid 0 \le k \le n, 0 \le p_h < n, i_0 > 0, \dots, i_k > 0, \\ 0 < q_0 \le n, \dots, 0 < q_k \le n\} \cap (\{a\}^* - L < n)$$

Note that  $L_{\geq n}$  is a *finite* union of such languages, because there exists only a finite number of tuples of the form  $\langle p_h, q_0, \ldots, q_k \rangle$  such that  $(\ell 0), (\ell 1), (\ell 3)$ , and  $(\ell 4)$  hold.

Note also that for any tuple of the form  $\langle p_h, q_0, \ldots, q_k \rangle$  such that  $(\ell 0), (\ell 1), (\ell 3),$ and  $(\ell 4)$  hold, we have that  $L_{\langle p_h, q_0, \ldots, q_k \rangle}$  is a regular language. Indeed, the finite automaton which recognizes  $L_{\langle p_h, q_0, \ldots, q_k \rangle}$  is as follows:



By recalling that the class of regular languages is closed under finite union, finite intersection, and complementation, we get that  $L_{>n}$  is a regular language.

This concludes the proof that every context-free grammar G over a terminal alphabet of cardinality 1 generates a regular language.

Note that the proof we have given, *does not* require Parikh's Lemma.