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Abstract

In this paper some operations are described that transform every graph into a perfect graph by replacing nodes with sets of new nodes. The transformation is done in such a way that every stable set in the perfect graph corresponds to a stable set in the original graph. These operations can be used in an augmentation procedure for finding a maximum weighted stable set in a graph. Starting with a stable set in a given graph one defines a simplex type tableau whose associated basic feasible solution is the incidence vector of the stable set. In an iterative fashion, nonbasic columns that would lead to pivoting into nonintegral basic feasible solutions, are replaced by new columns that one can read off from special graph structures such as odd holes, odd antiholes, and various generalizations. Eventually, either a pivot leading to an integral basic feasible solution is performed, or the optimality of the current solution is proved.
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Manfred Padberg is the scientific father, or the scientific grandfather, or the scientific great grandfather of each of the five authors. This paper is dedicated to him on the occasion of his 60th birthday.
1. Introduction

The stable set problem (or node packing problem) is one of the most studied problems in combinatorial optimization. It can be defined as follows: Let \((G, c)\) be a weighted graph, where \(G = (V, E)\) is a graph with \(n = |V|\) nodes and \(m = |E|\) edges and \(c \in \mathbb{R}_+^V\) is a node function that assigns a weight to each node of \(G\). A set \(S \subseteq V\) is called stable if its nodes are pairwise nonadjacent in \(G\). The problem is to find a stable set \(S^*\) in \(G\) of maximum weight \(c(S^*) = \sum_{v \in S} c_v\).

The value \(c(S^*)\) is called the \(c\)-weighted stability number \(\alpha_c(G)\) of the graph \(G\).

This problem is equivalent to maximizing the linear function \(\sum_{v \in S} c_v x_v\) over the \textit{stable set polytope} \(P_G\), the convex hull of the incidence vectors of all the stable sets of \(G\). Thus linear programming techniques can be used to solve the problem, provided that an explicit description of the polytope is given. It is nowadays well known that, the stable set problem being NP-hard, it is very unlikely that such a description can be found for instances of arbitrary size. Moreover, even if a partial description is at hand, due to the enormous number of inequalities, it is not obvious how to turn this knowledge into a useful algorithmic tool.

Despite these difficulties, the literature in combinatorial optimization of the last thirty years abounds with successful studies where nontrivial instances of NP-hard problems were solved with a cutting plane procedure based on the generation of strong cuts obtained from inequalities that define facets of certain polytopes.

The idea of using facet-defining inequalities in a cutting plane algorithm was proposed by Padberg in [20] and pursued in many other papers of his. His contribution goes much beyond the advances in the knowledge of the stable set problem and its polytope, as it influenced the developments of the following three decades in polyhedral combinatorics and in computational combinatorial optimization.

The basic integer linear programming formulation of the problem is obtained by adding the integrality requirement on the variables to the following system:

\[
\begin{align*}
  x_u + x_v & \leq 1 \quad \text{for each edge } (u, v) \text{ in } G \\
  x_v & \geq 0 \quad \text{for each node } v \in V.
\end{align*}
\]

Such a system is called the \textit{edge-node formulation} and provides a relaxation of \(P_G\) that has been studied in depth in [20] where it is proved that its solutions have values in the set \(\{0, 1/2, 1\}\).

A set \(Q \subseteq V\) is called a \textit{clique} if its nodes are pairwise adjacent in \(G\). In [20] it is proved that for every clique \(Q\) of \(G\) the \textit{clique inequality}

\[
\sum_{v \in Q} x_v \leq 1
\]

defines a facet of \(P_G\) as long as \(Q\) is maximal with respect to set inclusion. If in (1) instead of one inequality per edge we have a clique inequality per maximal clique, we obtain the \textit{clique formulation}:

\[
\sum_{v \in Q} x_v \leq 1 \quad \text{for each clique } Q \text{ in } G \\
x_v \in \{0, 1\} \quad \text{for each node } v \in V,
\]

which provides a tighter relaxation of \(P_G\).

Let \(C \subseteq V\) be a set of nodes such that \(G[C]\), the subgraph of \(G\) induced by \(C\), is a cycle of odd length. If the cycle is chordless, it is called an \textit{odd hole}, and the inequality

\[
\sum_{v \in C} x_v \leq \frac{|C| - 1}{2}
\]

is satisfied by all stable sets.
is called an odd-hole inequality. This inequality was proved in \cite{20} to define a facet of \( P_{G|C} \). In the same paper a sequential lifting procedure is described that turns an odd-hole inequality, and actually any inequality defining a facet of the polytope associated with a subgraph of \( G \), into an inequality facet-defining for \( P_G \).

After the work of Padberg, several other results were produced on the structure of the stable set polytope. Among the facet-defining inequalities that were characterized we mention the antihole inequalities introduced by \cite{19}; their definition is as for the hole inequalities, except that the subgraph induced by \( C \) is not a chordless cycle but its complement (a so-called odd antihole). For a list of references to further facet-defining inequalities for which a characterization is known, we refer to, e.g., Borndörfer \cite{5}.

It is not a trivial task to exploit this vast amount of knowledge on the stable set polytope to devise an effective cutting plane algorithm that is able to solve non-trivial instances of large size. Among the few attempts, we mention the ones of Nemhauser and Sigismondi \cite{18} and of Balas et al. \cite{1}. Unlike the case of other NP-hard problems, polyhedrally based cutting plane algorithms for the stable set problem have not yet shown their superiority over alternative methods. On the other hand, several approaches have been tried to solve difficult instances. For a collection of papers on algorithms for the stable set problem and for a recent survey on the subject, see \cite{16} and \cite{4}, respectively.

The cutting plane procedure mentioned before has a “dual flavor,” in the sense that the current solution is infeasible until the end, when feasibility and hence optimality is reached. A primal cutting plane procedure was first proposed by Young \cite{22}: One starts with an integral basic feasible solution, then either pivots leading to integral solutions are performed or cuts are generated that are satisfied by the current solution at equality. Padberg and Hong \cite{21} were the first to propose a similar primal procedure based on strong polyhedral cutting planes. These kinds of algorithms produce a path of adjacent vertices of the polytope associated with the problem.

A profound study of the vertex adjacency for the polytope of the set partitioning problem was produced by Balas and Padberg \cite{2}. They provided the theoretical background for the realization of a primal algorithm that produces a sequence of adjacent vertices of the polytope, ending with the optimal solution. Their basic technique was to replace a column of the current simplex tableau with a set of new columns in order to guarantee the next pivot to lead to an integral basic feasible solution.

These ideas were generalized to the case of general integer programming by Haus, Köppe, and Weismantel \cite{13, 15}, who called their method the “Integral Basis Method.” This method does neither require cutting planes nor enumeration techniques. In each major step the algorithm either returns an augmenting direction that is applicable at the given feasible point and yields a new feasible point with better objective function value or provides a proof that the point under consideration is optimal. This is achieved by iteratively substituting one column by columns that correspond to irreducible solutions of a system of linear diophantine inequalities. A detailed description of the method is given in the papers \cite{14, 15}.

The present paper provides some first graph theoretical tools for a primal algorithm for the stable set problem in the same vein as the work of Balas and Padberg and of Haus, Köppe, and Weismantel.

The cardinality of the largest stable set of a graph \( G = (V, E) \) is called the stability number of \( G \) and denoted by \( \alpha(G) \). The minimum number of cliques of \( G \) whose union coincides with \( V \) is called the clique covering number of \( G \) and denoted by \( \overline{\chi}(G) \). A graph \( G \) is perfect if and only if \( \alpha(G') = \overline{\chi}(G') \) for all subgraphs \( G' \) of \( G \) induced by subsets of its node set \( V \). For the
fundamentals on perfect graphs and balanced matrices and on their connections, which will be
used throughout the paper, we refer to, e.g., [6].

A graph is perfect if and only if its clique formulation defines an integral polytope. Moreover,
for perfect graphs the stability number can be computed in polynomial time [10]; thus, also
the separation problem for $P_G$ is polynomially solvable in this case. Therefore, one can devise
a primal cutting plane algorithm for the stable set problem for perfect graphs. We start,
for example, with the edge formulation and with a basic feasible solution corresponding to a stable
set. Then we perform simplex pivots until either we reach optimality or we produce a fractional
solution. In the latter case we add clique inequalities to the formulation that make the fractional
solution infeasible, we step back to the previous (integral) basic feasible solution, and we iterate.

Suppose now that the graph is not perfect. We assume that at hand is a graph transformation
that in a finite number of “steps to perfection” transforms the original graph into a possibly
larger graph that is perfect. Then it may be possible to apply again the previous primal cutting
plane procedure as follows: As soon as the fractional solution cannot be cut off by clique
inequalities, because other valid inequalities for $P_G$ would be necessary, we make one or more
“steps to perfection” until the clique formulation of the current graph makes the fractional
solution infeasible. This procedure eventually finds an optimal stable set in the latest generated
graph. It can be used for solving the original problem as long as the graph transformation is
such that the optimal stable set in this graph can be mapped into an optimal stable set in the
original graph.

This procedure provides a motivation for this paper where in Section 2 we define valid trans-
formations that have the desired properties mentioned above; in Section 3 we translate the graph
transformations into algebraic operations on the simplex tableaux; finally, in Section 4, we give
some properties of the proposed transformations that may be useful when the primal algorithm
sketched above is implemented.

2. Valid Graph Transformations

Throughout this section, we will denote by $G^0 = (V^0, E^0)$ and $c^0$ the graph and node-weight
function of the original weighted stable set problem, respectively. The purpose of this section is to
device several types of transformations $(G, c) \mapsto (G', c')$ with the property $\alpha_c(G) = \alpha_{c'}(G')$, i.e.,
transformations maintaining the weighted stability number. After a sequence of those transform-
ations, a perfect graph $G^s$ with a node-weight function $c^s$ will be produced. In perfect graphs
the stability number can be computed in polynomial time [10]. Moreover, the $c^s$-weighted stable
set problem in $G^s$ can be solved with linear programming over the maximal-clique formulation
of $G^s$.

Typically, one is not only interested in the weighted stability number of a graph but also in
a stable set where the maximum is attained. Thus, once the $c^s$-weighted stable set problem
in $G^s$ is solved, one would like to recover a corresponding maximum $c^0$-weighted stable set in
the original graph $G^0$. For this purpose, we shall attach a node labeling $\sigma: V \rightarrow 2^{V^0}$ to each
graph $G = (V, E)$. This labeling assigns a stable set $\sigma(v) \subseteq V^0$ in the original graph to each
node $v \in V$. The label of a node also determines its weight by the setting

$$c(v) = \sum_{u \in \sigma(v)} c^0(u) \quad \text{for } v \in V. \quad (2)$$

Thus, each node represents a partial stable set configuration in the original graph. For brevity
of notation, we shall define $\sigma^0: V^0 \rightarrow 2^{V^0}$ by $\sigma^0(v) = \{v\}$ for $v \in V^0$. 
Now, given a stable set $S \subseteq V$ in $G$ with labeling $\sigma$, we intend to reconstruct a stable set $S^0 \subseteq V^0$ in $G^0$ by
\[
S^0 = \bigcup_{s \in S} \sigma(s). 
\] (3)

For this to work, we need to impose some properties on a labeling.

**Definition 1 (valid labeling).** Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. A mapping $\sigma: V \to 2^{V^0}$ is called a valid node labeling of $G$ (with respect to $G^0$) if the following conditions hold:

(a) For $v \in V$, $\sigma(v)$ is a nonempty stable set in $G^0$.

(b) For every two distinct nodes $u, v \in V$ with $\sigma(u) \cap \sigma(v) \neq \emptyset$, the edge $(u, v)$ is in $E$; i.e., nodes with nonisjoint labels cannot be in the same stable set.

(c) Let $u, v \in V$ be distinct nodes. If there exists an edge $(u^0, v^0) \in E^0$ with $u^0 \in \sigma(u)$ and $v^0 \in \sigma(v)$, then the edge $(u, v)$ belongs to $E$.

Note that for a valid labeling $\sigma$ the union in equation (3) is disjoint, and it gives a stable set in $G^0$.

**Lemma 2.** Let $\sigma$ be a valid labeling of a graph $G = (V, E)$ and let $c: V \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be defined by (2). Let $S$ be a stable set in $G$. Then $S^0 = \bigcup_{s \in S} \sigma(s)$ is a stable set in $G^0$ with $c^0(S^0) = c(S)$.

**Proof.** Assume that $u^0, v^0 \in S^0$ are distinct nodes with $(u^0, v^0) \in E^0$. There exist $u, v \in S$ such that $u^0 \in \sigma(u)$ and $v^0 \in \sigma(v)$. If $u \neq v$, condition (c) of Definition 1 implies that $(u, v) \in E$, thus $S$ is not stable in $G$, contrary to the assumption. Otherwise, if $u = v$, the set $\sigma(u)$ is not stable in $G^0$, contradicting condition (a) of Definition 1. Hence, $S^0$ is a stable set in $G^0$.

Finally, note that the union $\bigcup_{s \in S} \sigma(s)$ is disjoint due to condition (b) of Definition 1. Therefore, $c(S) = \sum_{s \in S} c(s) = \sum_{s \in S} \sum_{s^0 \in \sigma(s)} c^0(s^0) = c^0(S^0)$.

**Definition 3 (faithful labeling).** Let $\sigma$ be a valid labeling of a graph $G = (V, E)$ with respect to $G^0$ and let $c: V \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be defined by (2). We call $\sigma$ a faithful labeling of $G$ if for every stable set $S$ in $G$ that has maximum weight with respect to $c$, the stable set $S^0 = \bigcup_{s \in S} \sigma(s)$ in $G^0$ has maximum weight with respect to $c^0$. A faithfully labeled graph $(G, c, \sigma)$ is a weighted graph $(G, c)$ with a faithful labeling $\sigma$.

**Definition 4 (valid transformation).** A valid graph transformation is a transformation that turns a faithfully labeled graph $(G, c, \sigma)$ into a faithfully labeled graph $(G', c', \sigma')$.

Throughout this paper, we shall only make use of a simple type of valid graph transformation, which can be characterized with the following lemma:

**Lemma 5.** Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with a faithful labeling $\sigma: V \to 2^{V^0}$ with respect to $G^0$. Let $G' = (V', E')$ be a graph with a valid labeling $\tau: V' \to 2^{V'}$ with respect to $G'$, such that for every stable set $S$ in $G$, there is a stable set $S'$ in $G'$ with $S = \bigcup_{s \in S} \tau(s')$. Then $\sigma': V' \to 2^{V^0}$, defined by $\sigma'(v') = \bigcup_{v \in \tau(v')} \sigma(v)$ for $v' \in V'$, is a faithful labeling of $G'$ with respect to $G^0$, and $(G, c, \sigma) \mapsto (G', c', \sigma')$ is a valid graph transformation.
Proof. Obviously, $\sigma'$ is a valid labeling of $G'$ with respect to $G^0$. Now let $S'$ be a stable set in $G'$ that has maximum weight with respect to $\sigma'$. Let $\bar{S} = \bigcup_{s' \in S'} \tau(s')$. Since $\tau$ is a valid labeling of $G'$ with respect to $G$, the set $\bar{S}$ is stable in $G$, and we have
\[
\sigma'(S') = \sum_{s' \in S'} \sum_{s \in \tau(s')} c^0(s) = \sum_{s \in \tau(s')} \sum_{s' \in S'} c^0(s) = \sum_{s' \in S'} \sum_{s \in \tau(s')} c(s) = c(S).
\] (4)

Suppose that there is a stable set $\bar{S}$ with $c(\bar{S}) > c(S)$. Then there exists a stable set $\bar{S}'$ in $G'$ with $\bar{S} = \bigcup_{s' \in \bar{S}'} \tau(s')$. Since (4) also holds when $S'$ and $\bar{S}$ are replaced by $\bar{S}'$ and $\bar{S}$, respectively, we have $\sigma'(\bar{S}') > \sigma'(\bar{S})$, which is a contradiction to the assumption. Hence, $\sigma'$ is a faithful labeling of $G'$ with respect to $G^0$.

We first consider a very simple transformation. Take an odd path of nodes in $G$,
\[
P = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{2l+1}),
\]
that together with the edge $(v_{2l+1}, v_1)$ forms an odd hole (see Figure 1). Let $S$ be a stable set in $G$ with $v_1 \in S$. Since there are at most $l$ elements of $S$ in $P$, there exists an index $i$ such that both $v_{2i}$ and $v_{2i+1}$ are not in $S$. Therefore, if we replace $v_1$ by $l$ pairwise adjacent copies $w_1, \ldots, w_l$, where $w_i$ is adjacent to both $v_{2i}$ and $v_{2i+1}$, for $i = 1, \ldots, l$, it is not difficult to see that any stable set in $G$ corresponds to a stable set in the new graph. The advantage of applying such an operation is, as will be made clear in the following, that in the new graph the odd hole has disappeared. This observation motivates the following definition.

The set of all nodes in $G$ adjacent to a node $v$ is denoted by $N_G(v)$.

**Definition 6 (node-path substitutions).** Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with a valid node labeling $\sigma : V \to 2^{V_0}$. For some $l > 0$, let
\[
P = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{2l+1})
\]
be a sequence of nodes of $V$ such that $v_i$ is adjacent to $v_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 2l$. We call $P$ an odd path of nodes; see Figure 1. A node-path substitution along $P$ that transforms a graph $G$ with a valid labeling into a graph $G'$ with a labeling $\sigma'$ is obtained in the following way:

- replace $v_1$ by the clique $W$ of new nodes, defined by
  \[
  W = \begin{cases} 
  \{w_1, \ldots, w_l\} & \text{if } v_1 \text{ and } v_{2l+1} \text{ are adjacent in } G, \\
  \{w_1, \ldots, w_l, t\} & \text{otherwise}; 
  \end{cases}
  \]
- for $w \in W$ connect $w$ to all nodes of $N_G(v_1)$;
- for $i \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$ connect $w_i$ to both $v_{2i}$ and $v_{2i+1}$, then set $\sigma'(w_i) = \sigma(v_1)$;
- connect node $t$ (if it is present in $W$) to $v_{2l+1}$ and all nodes of $N_G(v_{2l+1})$, then set $\sigma'(t) = \sigma(v_1) \cup \sigma(v_{2l+1})$.

The following definition gives a generalization of the node-path substitution.

**Definition 7 (clique-path substitutions).** Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with a valid node labeling $\sigma : V \to 2^{V_0}$. For some $l > 0$, let
\[
P = (Q_1 = \{v_1\}, Q_2, \ldots, Q_{2l+1})
\]
be a sequence of cliques of $G$ such that $Q^i_{i+1} := Q^i \cup Q^i_{i+1}$ is a clique in $G$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, 2l\}$, and $Q^i \cap Q^j = \emptyset$ for $i = j + 1 \mod 2l + 1$. We call $P$ an \textit{odd path of cliques}. Let

$$R = \{ v \in Q^i_{i+1} : v \text{ is not adjacent to } v_1 \text{ in } G \}.$$  \hfill (5)

A \textit{clique-path substitution} along $P$ that transforms a graph $G$ with a valid labeling into a graph $G'$ with a labeling $\sigma'$ is obtained in the following way:

- replace $v_1$ by the clique of new nodes $W = \{ w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_l \} \cup \{ t_r : r \in R \};$

- for $w \in W$ connect $w$ to all nodes of $N_G(v_1);$  

- for $i \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$ connect $w_i$ to all the nodes of $Q^i_{2i+1}$, then set $\sigma'(w_i) = \sigma(v_1);$  

- for $r \in R$ connect $t_r$ to $r$ and all the nodes of $N_G(r)$, then set $\sigma'(t_r) = \sigma(v_1) \cup \sigma(r).$

In Figure 2 an odd path of cliques is shown. In Figure 3, the graph $G'$ that is obtained by the clique-path substitution for the case $R = \emptyset$ is shown. Note that, to undulate the picture, some edges have been omitted, in fact all nodes $w_i$ are connected with the nodes in $Q_2$ and $Q_{2l+1}\setminus R.$

![Figure 1: An odd path of nodes](image1)

![Figure 2: An odd path of cliques](image2)

Definition 7 does not require the cliques $Q_i$ to be pairwise disjoint; non-consecutive cliques may share nodes. Obviously, when $|Q_i| = 1$ for $i = 2, \ldots, 2l + 1$ Definition 7 reproduces Definition 6. The labeling $\sigma'$ obtained in a clique-path substitution is a valid labeling; indeed, it turns faithful labelings into faithful labelings:

**Proposition 8.** \textit{Clique-path substitutions are valid graph transformations.}
Proof. Let $\sigma$ be a faithful labeling of $G$. We shall make use of Lemma 5 to show that $\sigma'$ is a faithful labeling of $G'$.

To this end, let $\tau : V' \rightarrow 2^V$ be defined by $\tau(w_i) = \{v_1\}$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, I\}$, $\tau(t_r) = \{v_1, r\}$ for $r \in R$ and $\tau(v) = \{v\}$ otherwise. Since $v_1$ is not adjacent to $r$ for $r \in R$, we have that $\tau$ is a valid labeling of $G'$ with respect to $G$. Moreover, it is easy to see that $\sigma'(v') = \bigcup_{r \in \tau(v')} \sigma(v)$ for $v' \in V'$.

Now let $S$ be a stable set in $G$. If $v_1 \notin S$, the set $S$ is stable in $G'$ as well. Suppose that $v_1 \in S$. Since $v_1$ is connected to all nodes of the clique $Q_2$, we have that $Q_2 \cap S = \emptyset$. If also $Q_3 \cap S = \emptyset$, the set $S' = S \setminus \{v_1\} \cup \{w_1\}$ is stable in $G'$. Otherwise, $Q_4 \cap S$ is empty, and we can repeat the argument until we reach the end of the path $P$. If finally $Q_{2+1} \cap S = \emptyset$, the set $S' = S \setminus \{v_1\} \cup \{w_1\}$ is stable in $G'$. Otherwise, since the nodes in $Q_{2+1} \setminus R$ are adjacent to $v_1$ in $G$, there is a node $r \in R \cap S$. Thus, $S' = S \setminus \{v_1, r\} \cup \{t_r\}$ is stable in $G'$.

By this construction, for every stable set $S$ in $G$ we obtain a stable set $S'$ in $G'$ such that $S = \bigcup_{s' \in \tau(S')} \tau(s')$. Hence, by Lemma 5, $\sigma'$ is a faithful labeling of $G'$.

In certain cases, a clique-path substitution converts an imperfect graph $G$ into a perfect graph $G'$.

Lemma 9. If $G$ is either an odd hole or an odd antihole, then there exists a node-path substitution such that the resulting graph $G'$ is perfect.

Proof. Let $G$ be an odd hole with $2k + 1$ nodes denoted by $v_1, \ldots, v_{2k+1}$. Pick node $v_1$ and consider the path $P$ from $v_1$ to $v_{2k+1}$ through all nodes. Apply the node-path substitution of node $v_1$ along $P$, and call the resulting graph $G'$. In Figure 4 both the original graph $G$ and the transformed graph $G'$ are shown for $k = 2$.

Let $W = \{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_k\}$ be the set of nodes replacing node $v_1$. Consider the following clique formulation associated with $G'$:

$$Q_1: \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k} y_i + x_{2i} \leq 1$$

$$Q_2: \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k} y_i + x_{2k+1} \leq 1$$

$$Q_{2i+1}: \quad y_i + x_{2i} + x_{2i+1} \leq 1 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, k$$

$$Q_{2i}: \quad x_{2i-1} + x_{2i} \leq 1 \quad \text{for } i = 2, \ldots, k$$

where variables $y_i$ correspond to nodes $w_i$ and variables $x_i$ to nodes $v_i$. We show that the constraint matrix $M$ of such a formulation is balanced. This implies the perfectness of $G$ (see, e.g., [6]).
We consider the row-column bipartite graph of the matrix $M$ (see Figure 5), i.e., a graph constructed by taking a node for each row and each column of $M$, and an edge for each nonzero entry of $M$ connecting the nodes corresponding to its row and column. It is well known that $M$ is balanced if and only if the length of all holes of this graph is divisible by 4. It is easy to verify that this is the case for $M$. Hence the matrix is balanced and the claim follows.

Let $G$ be an odd antihole with $2k + 1$ nodes, then we number the nodes in such a fashion that the edge set of the complement $\overline{G}$ of $G$ is the odd hole

$$\{ (1, 2), (2, 3), \ldots, (2k, 2k + 1), (2k + 1, 1) \}.$$ 

Consider the cycle of length five in $G,$

$$\{ (1, 3), (3, 2k + 1), (2k + 1, 2), (2, 4), (1, 4) \}.$$ 

We select node 1 and perform the node-path substitution along $\{ 1, 3, 2k + 1, 2, 4 \}$. That is, we replace node 1 by two adjacent nodes $1'$ and $1''$. Node $1'$ will be connected to all neighbors of the old node 1 and to the node $2k + 1$, while node $1''$ will be connected to the neighbors of the old node 1 and to the node 2. The resulting graph is called $G'$. Its complement $\overline{G'}$ only contains
the simple path
\[
\{(1^n, 2), (2, 3), \ldots, (2k, 2k + 1), (2k + 1, 1')\}.
\]
Hence, \( \overline{G} \) is perfect. By Lovász’s Perfect Graph Theorem [17], \( G' \) is perfect, too.

Note that Lemma 9 implies that, if the Strong Perfect Graph Conjecture [3] is true, then we can transform every minimally imperfect graph into a perfect graph with a single clique-path substitution.

The following example gives an alternative substitution of a node in an anti-hole structure, which illustrates the more general clique-path substitution.

**Example 10.** Consider an odd anti-hole \( \overline{C}_{2k+1} = (V, E) \) with \( 2k + 1 \) nodes, labeled from 1 to \( 2k + 1 \). Pick node 1, then it is easy to verify that the set \( V \setminus \{1\} \) can be partitioned into two cliques: \( Q_{\text{odd}} \) and \( Q_{\text{even}} \). The former contains all nodes with an odd label (except node 1), the latter contains all nodes with an even label. So we can consider the following odd path of cliques:

\[
P = (\{1\}, Q_{\text{even}} \setminus \{2\}, \{2\}, Q_{\text{odd}} \setminus \{3\}, \{3\})
\]

Figure 6 shows the 7-anti-hole \( \overline{G} \); the edges of the complete subgraphs induced by the relevant cliques in \( P \) are shown with thick lines. The graph \( G' \) resulting from the clique-path substitution along \( P \) has two new nodes \( 1' \) and \( 1'' \) replacing node 1; node \( 1' \) is connected to all nodes but 2, while node \( 1'' \) is connected to all nodes but \( 2k + 1 \). The graph \( G' \) is shown in Figure 7. The edges introduced by the clique-path substitution are drawn with dashed lines, whereas edges merely inherited from node 1 are drawn with a dotted line. The resulting graph is the same as the one obtained by the node-path substitution of Lemma 9, hence it is perfect.
For any graph, it is easy to construct a finite sequence of clique-path substitutions leading to a graph that is the disjoint union of complete graphs, hence to a perfect graph:

**Lemma 11 (Finiteness).** Let $G = (V,E)$ be a graph. There exists a finite sequence of clique-path substitutions leading to a perfect graph $G' = (V,E)$.

**Proof.** Since clique-path substitutions work within one component, we may assume that $G$ is connected. Let $Q \subseteq V$ be a clique in $G$ that is maximal with respect to inclusion. If $Q = V$, we are done. Otherwise, let $v_1 \in V \setminus Q$ such that $Q_2 := Q \cap N_G(v_1) \neq \emptyset$. Let $Q_3 := Q \setminus Q_2$. Now $P = (v_1, Q_2, Q_3)$ is an odd path of cliques in $G$. The clique-path substitution along $P$ leads to a graph $G'$, where all the new nodes have been adjoined to the clique $Q$. We continue with $G'$ and a maximal clique in $G'$ containing the enlarged clique. Since $|V \setminus Q|$ decreases in each step by at least one, the procedure terminates with a complete graph.

However, we cannot expect that an arbitrarily chosen sequence of clique-path substitutions terminates, as the following example shows.

**Example 12.** Let us consider the graph $G^0$ shown in Figure 8(a). The node-path substitution along the odd path of nodes $(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$, shown with bold edges, leads to the validly labeled graph $G^1$ shown in Figure 8(b). As $G^2$ is an induced subgraph of $G^1$ after renaming node $1'$ to $1$, the same node-path substitution can be performed ad infinitum, adding two nodes (copies of $1''$ and $1''|5$ in each step.

![Figure 8: The node-path substitution along the odd path of nodes (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)](image)

**Remark 13 (The struction of Ebenegger et al.).** In the paper [8], Ebenegger, Hammer, and de Werra describe a construction that reduces the stability number of a graph by $1$; in the subsequent papers [7, 11, 12] this is called a *struction*. Here, we shall present a variant of the struction that is a valid graph transformation, i.e., it maintains the weighted stability number rather than reducing it. Let $G = (V,E)$ be a graph. Let $v_0 \in V$ be an arbitrary node and let $N(v_0) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_p\}$. The idea of the construction is the following: For each stable set $S$ in $G$ not containing $v_0$ but some of the nodes $v_1, \ldots, v_p$, there is a minimum index $i \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$ with $v_i \in S$. For each such minimum index $i$, in $G'$ there is a layer of copies of those nodes $v_i, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_p$ that are not adjacent to $v_i$ in $G$; these copies are called $v_{i,i}, v_{i,i+1}, \ldots, v_{i,p}$. These copies replace the original nodes $v_1, \ldots, v_p$. Within one layer, the $v_{i,j}$ inherits all the edges from both $v_i$ and $v_j$ in $G$, whereas nodes of different layers are all connected by edges. Figure 9 illustrates the transformation; note that only edges between adjacent layers have been drawn here and that node $v_0$, which is connected to all new nodes, has been omitted. The algorithmic idea is to perform a sequence of structions, yielding a graph whose stability number can be computed easily. In the papers [7, 11, 12], it is shown that, for certain classes of graphs, the number of operations necessary is polynomially bounded. In the general case, however, one cannot expect similar results to hold, since the number of nodes in the problem may grow very fast. We are not aware of a thorough computational study of an algorithm based on structions.
Remark 14 (Comparison to LP-based branch-and-bound procedures). We use a simple example to illustrate the possible advantage of a method based on valid graph transformations, compared to LP-based branch-and-bound procedures. For $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots \}$ and $l \in \{2, 3, \ldots \}$, let the graph $C_{2k+1}^l$ be the disjoint union of $k$ odd holes $C_{2l+1}$. The maximal clique formulation of the stable set problem in $C_{2k+1}^l$ is

$$\begin{align*}
\text{max} & \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{2l+1} x_{i,j} \\
\text{s.t.} & \quad x_{i,j} + x_{i,j+1} \leq 1 & \text{for } i \in \{1, \ldots, k\} \text{ and } j \in \{1, \ldots, 2l\},
& \quad x_{i,1} + x_{i,2l+1} \leq 1 & \text{for } i \in \{1, \ldots, k\},
& \quad x_{i,j} \in \{0, 1\} & \text{for } i \in \{1, \ldots, k\} \text{ and } j \in \{1, \ldots, 2l+1\}.
\end{align*}$$

(6)

The unique optimal solution to the LP relaxation of (6) is given by $x_{i,j} = \frac{1}{2}$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, 2l+1\}$. An LP-based branch-and-bound procedure would now select one node variable, $x_{1,1}$ say, and consider the two subproblems obtained from (6) by fixing $x_{1,1}$ at 0 and 1, respectively. The graph-theoretic interpretation of this variable fixing is that the copy of $C_{2l+1}$ corresponding to the node variables $x_{1,1}$ is turned into a perfect graph in both branches, see Figure 10. Hence, the optimal basic solutions to the LP relaxations of the subproblems attain

Figure 9: A variant of the struction of a graph

Figure 10: Graph-theoretic interpretation of the branch operation on a fractional node variable in an LP-based branch-and-bound procedure. The numbers shown aside the nodes are the node variable values in an optimal solution to the LP relaxation. (a) One of the copies of $C_5$ in the graph $C_5^6$. (b) Fixing the first node variable at zero. (c) Fixing the first node variable at one.
integral values there. Since there remain \( k - 1 \) odd holes in both subproblems, the branch-and-bound procedure clearly visits a number of subproblems exponential in \( k \).

On the other hand, a method using clique-path substitutions, which performs the whole enumeration implicitly, can turn the graph \( C_n^k \) into a perfect, validly labeled graph \((G, c, \sigma)\) by performing only \( k \) substitution steps of the type shown in Figure 4. The optimal solution to the LP relaxation of this formulation is integral, and the corresponding maximum stable set in the original graph \( C_n^k \) can be computed by means of the node labeling \( \sigma \).

3. Optimizing Over Stable Sets

Since graph transformations transform weighted stable set problems to weighted stable set problems, they can be used as a tool within any optimization algorithm for the stable set problem.

In this section, we will deal with weighted stable set problems in a specific algorithmic framework, namely in a primal integer programming setting in the vein of work of Balas and Padberg [2] and of Haus, Köppe, and Weismantel [13, 15]. It will turn out that the graph transformations discussed in the previous section can be re-interpreted as column operations in an integral simplex tableau.

First we need to fix an integer-programming formulation. We note that the problem of finding a maximum stable set in \( G = (V, E) \) with respect to the weight function \( c \in \mathbb{R}^V_+ \) can be formulated as the following integer program:

\[
\max c^T x : \ x_v + x_w + z_{v,w} = 1 \quad \text{for} \ (v, w) \in E, \ x \in \{0, 1\}^V, \ z \in \{0, 1\}^E.
\]  

(7)

Note that in this formulation \( z_{v,w} \) is the slack variable of the edge \((v, w) \in E\). A better integer programming formulation is achieved when edges are replaced by cliques of larger size. Let \( Q_1, \ldots, Q_k \) be cliques in \( G \) that cover all the edges of \( G \), i.e., for every edge \((v, w) \in E\) there is an index \( i \in \{1, \ldots, k\} \) such that \((v, w) \subseteq Q_i\). Note that this set of cliques may not coincide with all the maximal cliques of the complete clique formulation and that the cliques may not be maximal. Introducing a slack variable \( z_{Q_i} \) for each clique \( Q_i \), the weighted stable set problem is formulated as

\[
\max c^T x : \ \sum_{v \in Q_i} x_v + z_{Q_i} = 1 \quad \text{for} \ i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}, \quad x \geq 0, \ z \geq 0,
\]  

(8)

\[
x \in \mathbb{Z}^V, \ z \in \mathbb{Z}^k.
\]  

(9)

This integer program is the starting point of our further investigations. We call (8) a maximal-clique formulation if all cliques \( Q_i, i = \{1, \ldots, k\} \), are maximal. Moreover, if the set \( \{Q_i\}_{i=1}^{k} \) includes all maximal cliques of \( G \), (8) is called the complete maximal-clique formulation.

Now let \( S \subseteq V \) be a stable set in \( G \). To construct a basic feasible solution associated with \( S \) we select for each of the rows in the program (8) a basic variable as follows:

- For every \( v \in S \), let \( i_v \) be a row index such that \( v \in Q_{i_v} \). We select \( x_v \) as the basic variable associated with the row \( i_v \) of the tableau.

- For each of the remaining clique constraints \( i \) we select the slack variable \( z_{Q_i} \) as the corresponding basic variable.

Note that the indices \( i_v \) are all distinct, and hence the construction yields a basis corresponding to \( S \). As usual, let \( B \) and \( N \) denote the sets of basic and nonbasic variables, respectively. We
can now rewrite (8) in tableau form
\[ y_B + A_B^{-1} A_{YN} = b \]
\[(y_B, y_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n+k}.\]

The variables \( y_j \) correspond to node variables \( x_v \) or slack variables \( z_{Q_i} \). We will henceforth call a tableau obtained by this procedure a *canonical tableau* for \( S \). Realizing the fact that the original objective function was nonnegative on the nodes and zero on the slack variables, the following observation is immediate.

**Observation 15.** Let \( N \) be the set of nonbasic variables in a canonical tableau for a stable set \( S \) in the graph \( G \). Then the reduced cost of a nonbasic slack variable \( z_{Q_i} \) is always nonpositive. The reduced cost of a nonbasic node variable \( x_k \) may be zero, negative or positive.

**Example 16.** Let \( C_5 \) be an odd hole on five nodes. The problem of finding a stable set of maximum size in \( C_5 \) is formulated as the following integer program:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{max} & \quad x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5 \\
\text{s.t.} & \quad x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5 + z_{12} = 1 \\
& \quad x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + z_{23} = 1 \\
& \quad x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5 + z_{34} = 1 \\
& \quad x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5 + z_{15} = 1
\end{align*}
\]

The construction described above for the stable set \( S = \{3, 5\} \) in \( C_5 \) yields the following tableau for \( S \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{max} & \quad x_1 - z_{23} - z_{45} \\
\text{s.t.} & \quad x_3 + x_2 + z_{23} = 1 \\
& \quad x_4 + x_1 + z_{34} = 1 \\
& \quad -x_2 + x_4 + z_{23} = 0 \\
& \quad z_{15} - x_4 + x_1 - z_{45} = 0 \\
& \quad z_{12} + x_2 + x_1 = 1
\end{align*}
\]

Starting from a basic feasible integer solution, the Balas–Padberg procedure [2] and the Integral Basis Method by Haus, Köppe and Weismantel [13, 15] proceed as follows. As long as nondegenerate integral pivots are possible, such steps are performed, improving the current basic feasible integer solution. When a solution is reached that would only permit a degenerate integral pivot, a “column-generation procedure” replaces some nonbasic columns by new “composite” columns, which are nonnegative integral combinations of nonbasic columns in the current tableau. In this way, eventually columns are generated that allow nondegenerate integral pivots, or optimality of the current basic feasible solution is proved.

The Balas–Padberg procedure has not proven to be an efficient algorithm for set partitioning problems. Also the implementation of the Integral Basis Method as described in [14] shows a rather weak computational performance when applied to stable set problems. The reason is that both algorithms generate the composite columns to add to the tableau without making use of the graph-theoretic properties of the problem.

The idea to improve the performance is to use “strong”, “combinatorial” composite columns whenever possible, rather than the general composite columns derived from the tableau. This is where clique-path substitutions come into play. In the following, we show how they can be dealt with in the integer programming setting.
Definition 17 (odd alternating path of cliques). Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph, $\sigma$ a faithful labeling of $G$ and let the node-weight function $c : V \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be defined by (2). Fix an integral simplex tableau for a formulation of the $c$-weighted stable set problem in $G$. Let $x_{v_1}$ be a nonbasic variable of positive reduced cost, and let $P = (\{v_1\}, Q_2, \ldots, Q_{2l+1})$ be an odd path of cliques in $G$. Again we denote by $R$ the set

$$ R = \{ v \in Q_{2l+1} : v \text{ is not adjacent to } v_1 \text{ in } G \}. $$

For $i \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$, assume there is a nonbasic slack variable $z_i$ for the clique $Q_{2i,2i+1} = Q_{2i} \cup Q_{2i+1}$, and there is $x_{j_i} = 1$ with $j_i \in Q_{2i}$. Moreover, presume that all variables $x_v$ for $v \in R$ are nonbasic. In this setting, we call

$$(\{v_1\}, Q_{2,3}, Q_{4,5}, \ldots, Q_{2l,2l+1})$$

an odd alternating path of cliques. If $|Q_i| = 1$ for $i \in \{2, \ldots, 2l + 1\}$, we call it an odd alternating path of nodes.

In this setting, we are going to remove the column of the nonbasic variable $x_{v_1}$ from the tableau and replace it by nonbasic integral combinations of other columns of the tableau. We shall use the notation $x_v \wedge x_w$ for a new variable associated with a column that is the sum of the columns for $x_v$ and $x_w$.

Definition 18. For an odd alternating path of cliques

$$(\{v_1\}, Q_{2,3}, Q_{4,5}, \ldots, Q_{2l,2l+1})$$

we define the corresponding alternating-path substitution in the tableau as follows: substitute $x_{v_1}$ by new binary variables according to the following column operations:

- $u_r = x_{v_1} \wedge x_r$ for all $r \in R$,
- $y_i = x_{v_1} \wedge z_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$,

where all new variables are nonbasic and 0/1.

Observation 19. Let $\bar{z}$ and $\bar{z}$ denote the variables $x$ and $z$, respectively, in the formulation obtained after the substitution. Then we can map a solution of the new formulation back into a solution of the old formulation via the following relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{v_1} &= \sum_{i=1}^{l} y_i + \sum_{r \in R} u_r, \quad (10a) \\
x_r &= \bar{x}_r + u_r \quad \text{for all } r \in R, \quad (10b) \\
z_i &= \bar{z}_i + y_i \quad \text{for all } i \in \{1, \ldots, l\}. \quad (10c)
\end{align*}
$$

For all other variables, we have $x_v = \bar{x}_v$ and $z_i = \bar{z}_i$. In the following we will denote by $\mathcal{F}$ a generic formulation of type (8), by $\mathcal{S}_P(\mathcal{F})$ the formulation obtained by applying an alternating-path substitution along $P$ to $\mathcal{F}$. Moreover, given a formulation $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{S}_P(\mathcal{F})$, we will denote by $\mathcal{R}_P(\mathcal{F}')$ the formulation obtained by applying the mapping (10a)-(10c) to $\mathcal{F}'$.

Lemma 20. The integer program obtained by a sequence of alternating-path substitutions is an integer programming formulation of the stable set problem in $G$; the optimal solutions translate into the maximum stable sets in $G$ via the iterated mapping (10).
Proof. Let \((G', c', \sigma')\) denote the labeled graph obtained from \((G, c, \sigma)\) by performing the clique-path substitution along

\[ P = (v_1, Q_2, Q_3, \ldots, Q_{2l}, Q_{2l+1}). \]

As in Definition 7, let \(t_r\) for \(r \in R\) and \(w_i\) for \(i \in \{1, \ldots, l\}\) denote the new nodes arising from the substitution. We show that the problem resulting from the above column operations is a formulation of the \(c'\)-weighted stable set problem in \(G'\).

The key is to realize that the new variables correspond to the new nodes in the following way:

(i) For \(i \in \{1, \ldots, l\}\), variable \(y_i = x_{v_i} \land z_i\) corresponds to the new node \(w_i\).

(ii) For \(r \in R\), variable \(u_r = x_{v_1} \land x_r\) corresponds to the new node \(t_r\).

To verify (i), let \(i \in \{1, \ldots, l\}\) and note that the original formulation of the \(c\)-weighted stable set problem in \(G\) implies the following inequalities and equations:

\[
x_{v_i} + x_v \leq 1 \quad \text{for } v \in N_G(v_i),
\]

\[
\sum_{v \in Q_{2i,2i+1}} x_v + z_i \leq 1.
\]

By (10), we obtain:

\[
\sum_{i=1}^l y_i + \sum_{r \in R} u_r + \bar{\bar{z}}_v \leq 1 \quad \text{for } v \in N_G(v_1),
\]

\[
\sum_{v \in Q_{2i,2i+1}} \bar{\bar{z}}_v + \sum_{v \in Q_{2i,2i+1} \cap R} u_v + z_i + y_i = 1.
\]

Hence, for all variables \(x_i\) corresponding to the neighbors \(t \in N_{G'}(w_i)\), as given by Definition 7, the new formulation implies an inequality \(x_i + y_i \leq 1\). The correspondence (ii) can be verified analogously.

Example 21 (Example 16 continued). For the stable set problem introduced in Example 16, the path

\[ P = (1, \{2, 3\}, \{4, 5\}) \]

is an alternating path of cliques. The slack variables \(z_{23}\) and \(z_{45}\) are both nonbasic. Now \(x_1\) is the variable in the tableau with positive reduced cost. Since the edge \((1, 5)\) is present in \(G\), we substitute variable \(x_1\) by the two variables \(x'_1 = x_1 \land z_{23}\) and \(x''_1 = x_1 \land z_{45}\) corresponding to the two sums of column 1 and the columns associated with \(z_{23}\) and \(z_{45}\), respectively. Note that in this example the reduced cost of the two new nonbasic columns are 0. Hence, we have a certificate that \(S\) is indeed optimal.

This illustrates the most fortunate situation for our algorithmic framework: The alternating-path substitution has not only turned the graph \(G\) into a perfect graph, as shown in Lemma 9, but we also obtain an integral tableau with a linear-programming certificate for optimality.

4. Properties of Alternating-Path Substitutions

The destruction of one odd hole via our column substitution procedure has the price that we need to enlarge the original stable set problem significantly. One might argue that because of this enlargement of the graph it might algorithmically be more tractable to add just one odd-hole cutting plane to the initial formulation. The drawback of the latter approach is, however,
that odd-hole cuts define facets for the stable set polytope associated with a graph that is the odd hole itself. For an arbitrary graph that contains an odd hole as an induced subgraph lifting becomes necessary to strengthen an odd hole cut. However, it is not known how to separate each lifted inequality in polynomial time. Therefore, cutting-plane procedures apply heuristic techniques (exact or heuristic sequential lifting) to strengthen an odd hole cut. In contrast, our procedure automatically deals with graph structures where a cut approach would need lifting, as the following example shows.

**Example 22.** Let $G = (V, E)$ be an odd wheel involving $2k$ nodes. The first $2k - 1$ nodes, numbered from 1 to $2k - 1$, form an odd hole. The additional node is called the hub and is denoted by $h$. This node $h$ is adjacent to all nodes on the hole. Associated with such a configuration is an odd wheel inequality that can be seen as a lifted odd-hole inequality,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} x_i + (k - 1)x_h \leq k - 1.
$$

We will now show that "destroying" the odd hole by performing a node-path substitution makes the fractional solutions that would be cut by the odd wheel inequality automatically infeasible. This implies that in this situation a concept of inequality strengthening by lifting is not required for the primal approach. We perform the same graph transformation as in the proof of Lemma 9 for the odd hole, i.e., a node-path substitution along the path

$P = (1, 2, 3, \ldots, 2k - 2, 2k - 1)$.

The resulting graph $G'$ is illustrated in Figure 11 for $k = 3$. Compared to the perfect graph obtained in the proof of Lemma 9, $G'$ only has the extra node $h$, which is connected to all the other nodes. Thus $G'$ is perfect as well.

![Figure 11: Substitution of node (1) of the odd hole in an odd wheel of size 5](image)

It has already been pointed out that a clique formulation (8) of the weighted stable set problem is much stronger than the node-edge formulation (7). In fact, when the maximal cliques are employed, the integrality constraints in (9) can be dropped if the underlying graph $G$ is perfect.

**Example 23 (Example 10, continued).** Let us again consider the transformation of the odd antihole $\overline{C_{2k+1}}$ carried out in Example 10. Let the problem be given in its complete maximal-clique formulation, that is composed of $2k + 1$ cliques of size $k$, among which are the cliques
$Q_{\text{odd}}$ and $Q_{\text{even}}$ from Example 10. Let a basis be fixed such that the node variable $x_1$ and the clique slack variables $z_{Q_{\text{odd}}}$ and $z_{Q_{\text{even}}}$ are nonbasic. Then the clique-path substitution of Example 10 is equivalent to the column operation substituting $x_1$ by the variables:

- $x'_1 = x_1 \land z_{Q_{\text{odd}}}$,
- $x'_1 = x_1 \land z_{Q_{\text{even}}}$.

It is now easy to verify that in the formulation we obtain in this way all cliques are maximal in the resulting perfect graph (actually, in this particular example we obtain the complete maximal-clique formulation).

However, this desirable property does not hold in general.

The alternating-path substitution requires that the cliques $Q_{2i} \cup Q_{2i+1}, i \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$ be present in the formulation $\mathcal{F}$. In the case that these cliques are not maximal, to perform the alternating-path substitution we have to add the corresponding inequalities, which are dominated.

Now we consider the identification problem for odd alternating paths of cliques. First we show that an alternating-path substitution “cuts off” the fractional point $(x^F, z^F)$ obtained by a single pivoting step applied to a basic integer solution $(x^I, z^I)$. Moreover, such an alternating path with $R = \emptyset$ can be found in polynomial time if it exists.

**Definition 24.** Let $(x^I, z^I)$ be a basic integer solution and let $v_i$ be a nonbasic variable. If the basic solution obtained by pivoting in $x_{v_i}$ is fractional, we call it a *fractional neighbor* of $(x^I, z^I)$ and denote it by $(x^F, z^F)$.

**Lemma 25.** Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a formulation for the graph $G$, $(x^I, z^I)$ be a basic integer solution, and $x_{v_i}$ a nonbasic variable. Assume that pivoting $x_{v_i}$ into the basis would produce a fractional neighbor $(x^F, z^F)$. Consider an alternating-path substitution along $P = (v_1, Q_{2,3}, \ldots, Q_{2,2l+1})$ and the corresponding formulation $\mathcal{F}' = S_P(\mathcal{F})$. Then the solution $(x^F, z^F)$ is not feasible for the mapping $\mathcal{R}_P(\mathcal{F}')$ on the space of the initial variables (where $\mathcal{R}$ is the mapping defined in Observation 19).

**Proof.** Let $y_i = x_{v_i} \land z_{Q_{2,2l+1}}$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$ and $u_r = x_{v_i} \land x_r$ for $r \in R$ be the new variables obtained by substitution of $v_i$. We denote by $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{z}$ the variables $x$ and $z$, respectively, in the formulation $\mathcal{F}'$. The relations that define the mapping $\mathcal{R}$ are satisfied by all integer solutions. We will show that they are violated by the fractional solution $(x^F, z^F)$, an so that $(x^F, z^F) \notin \mathcal{R}_P(\mathcal{F}')$. First note that the variables $z_i$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$ and $x_r$ for $r \in R$ are nonbasic both before and after the pivoting operation. From equations (10b), (10c) and the nonnegativity of all variables, we obtain that the variables $\bar{y}_i$ and $y_i$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$, and $\bar{x}_r$ and $u_r$ for all $r \in R$ must have value zero in the solution corresponding to $(x^F, z^F)$. But at the same time $x_{v_i} > 0$, that is at least one of the variables $y_i$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$ or $u_r$ for all $r \in R$ attains a positive value. This is a contradiction.

**Observation 26.** Lemma 25 is valid as long as the variables $z_i$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$ and $x_r$ for $r \in R$ are equal to zero for both the solutions $(x^I, z^I)$ and $(x^F, z^F)$. Therefore, these variables do not have to be necessarily nonbasic.
In the following, we shall consider the alternating-path substitution in the case of \( R = \emptyset \). In this case the path of cliques is in fact a cycle, so an associated tableau has the following form:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{j \in Q_{1,2}} x_j & \leq 1 \\
\sum_{j \in Q_{2,3}} x_j & \leq 1 \\
\sum_{j \in Q_{3,4}} x_j & \\
& \quad \vdots \\
\sum_{j \in Q_{2l,2l+1}} x_j & + z_l = 1 \\
\sum_{j \in Q_{2l+1} \cup \{v_1\}} x_j & \leq 1
\end{align*}
\]

If we perform the substitution of \( x_{v_1} \), the equations in the above system can be rewritten as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{j \in Q_{2,3}} x_j + z_l & = 1 \\
\sum_{j \in Q_{2l,2l+1}} x_j + z_l & + y_l = 1
\end{align*}
\]

where \( y_i = x_{v_1} \land z_i \) for all \( i \in \{1, \ldots, l\} \), and, therefore, \( x_{v_1} = \sum_{i=2}^l y_i \) and \( z_i = z_i + y_i \). By substituting \( y_1 = x_{v_1} - \sum_{i=2}^l y_i \) in the first equation and then summing up all of them, we obtain the relation

\[
\sum_{i=1}^l \left( \sum_{j \in Q_{2,2l+1}} x_j \right) + x_{v_1} + \sum_{i=1}^l z_i = l. \tag{11}
\]

If the cliques \( Q_1, \ldots, Q_{2l+1} \) are pairwise disjoint, this is a lifted odd-hole inequality resulting from the odd hole of length \( 2l + 1 \) of nodes \( v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, \ldots, v_{2l}, v_{2l+1} \), where \( v_i \) can be any node in \( Q_i \) for \( i \in \{2, \ldots, 2l + 1\} \). This means that performing the alternating-path substitution is at least as strong as adding a lifted odd-hole cut to the problem.

**Theorem 27.** Let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a formulation and let \( (x^f, z^f) \) denote a basic integer solution. Suppose that there exists an alternating-path substitution along

\[
P = (v_1, Q_{2,3}, \ldots, Q_{2l,2l+1})
\]

such that the inequality corresponding to each of the cliques \( Q_{2,3}, \ldots, Q_{2l,2l+1} \) coincides with or is dominated by one of the clique inequalities in \( \mathcal{F} \) and \( R = \emptyset \). Then one can find such a substitution in polynomial time in the size of \( \mathcal{F} \). Moreover, the fractional neighbor \( (x^f, z^f) \) that would have been obtained by pivoting \( x_{v_1} \) into the basis is infeasible for the formulation \( \mathcal{R}_P(S_P(\mathcal{F})) \).

**Proof.** Let \( \{ \hat{Q}_i : i \in K \} \) be cliques corresponding to the inequalities in \( \mathcal{F} \). We build a digraph \( H_0 \) where each node represents a clique \( \hat{Q}_i \) whose corresponding variable is nonbasic. We also have an additional node associated with the column \( x_{v_1} \) to be substituted. For each clique \( \hat{Q}_i \) with nonbasic slack variable, let \( x_{j_i} \) be the unique variable such that \( j_i \in Q_i \) and \( x_{j_i}^f = 1 \) in the present basic integer solution. We have an arc labeled \((i, m, k)\) from clique \( \hat{Q}_i \) to clique \( \hat{Q}_k \) if there exists an index \( m \in K \) such that:

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{Q}_i \cap \hat{Q}_m & \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{Q}_m \cap \hat{Q}_k \neq \emptyset; \\
ji & \notin \hat{Q}_m \quad \text{whereas} \quad jk \in \hat{Q}_m.
\end{align*} \tag{12a, 12b}
\]
The node associated with the variable \( x_{v_1} \) is connected to the cliques following the same rules, i.e., there exists an arc labeled \((0, m, k)\) from \( x_{v_1} \) to a clique \( \hat{Q}_k \) not containing \( x_{v_1} \) if there exists an index \( m \in \mathcal{K} \) such that:

\[
x_{v_1} \in \hat{Q}_m \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{Q}_m \cap \hat{Q}_k \neq \emptyset;
\]

\[
j_k \in \hat{Q}_m.
\]

For arcs labeled \((k, m, 0)\) from a clique \( \hat{Q}_k \) to \( x_{v_1} \) the last condition must be modified to read \( j_k \notin \hat{Q}_m \).

Suppose there exists a directed cycle in \( H_0 \) passing through \( x_{v_1} \),

\[
C = (x_{v_1}, (0, m_1, k_1), \hat{Q}_{k_1}, (k_1, m_2, k_2), \hat{Q}_{k_2}, \ldots, \hat{Q}_{k_l}, (k_l, m_{l+1}, 0), x_{v_1}).
\]

We can construct an odd path of cliques from \( C \) as follows. For \( i \in \{1, \ldots, l\} \), let

\[
\begin{align*}
Q_{2i} &= \hat{Q}_{m_i} \cap \hat{Q}_{k_i}, \\
Q_{2i+1} &= \hat{Q}_{k_i} \cap \hat{Q}_{m_{i+1}}.
\end{align*}
\]

Since \( j_{k_i} \in Q_{2i} \) for \( i \in \{1, \ldots, l\} \), the cliques \( Q_{2i,2i+1} = Q_{2i} \cup Q_{2i+1} \) are tight at \((x^I, z^I)\). Note that each clique \( Q_{2i,2i+1} \) coincides with or is dominated by the clique \( \hat{Q}_{k_i} \) that is present in the formulation. After introducing nonbasic variables for the slacks of \( Q_{2i,2i+1} \) (unless already present), the path \( P = (v_1, Q_{2,3}, \ldots, Q_{2l,2l+1}) \) clearly is an odd alternating path of cliques (see Figure 12). Conversely, every odd alternating path of cliques that coincide with or are dominated

![Figure 12: Constructing an odd alternating path of cliques](image-url)

by cliques in the current formulation corresponds to a directed cycle \( C \) in \( H_0 \). Hence, we can find them in polynomial time in the size of \( \mathcal{F} \) by detecting directed cycles in the auxiliary digraph \( H_0 \). By Lemma 25, the fractional solution \((x^F, z^F)\) obtained by pivoting \( x_{v_1} \) into the basis is infeasible for \( \mathcal{R}_P(S_P(\mathcal{F})) \).

Note that the digraph \( H_0 \) defined in the proof of Theorem 27 can be replaced by a directed multi-graph, where two cliques \( \hat{Q}_i \) and \( \hat{Q}_k \) are connected by parallel arcs \((i, m, k)\) for each \( m \in \mathcal{K} \) satisfying the above conditions.
We have noted before that an alternating-path substitution is equivalent to adding a lifted odd-hole cut (11). In a dual-type method, one is interested in finding the cut from a given class that is most violated by the current solution. We can solve the analogous problem in our primal setting:

**Proposition 28.** The problem of finding the alternating-path substitution of the type as in Theorem 27, whose corresponding inequality (11) is the most violated by a fractional neighbor $(x^F, z^F)$ of a basic integral solution $(x^I, z^I)$, can be solved in polynomial time in the size of $F$.

**Proof.** We use the same notation as in the proof of Theorem 27. Let $H$ be the graph constructed following the same rules used for $H_0$, but with nodes associated with all clique inequalities that are tight at $(x^I, z^I)$. Let us now define a digraph $H'$ where the nodes are defined by all those subcliques obtained by the intersections of all the cliques $Q_i$ that correspond to the nodes of $H$ with the cliques $Q_m$ for all the arcs $(i, m, k)$ and $(k, m, i)$ of $H$. The graph $H'$ inherits all the arcs of $H$ and has arcs between the pairs of subcliques of the same original clique $Q_i$. We define the weight on each arc: $e = (Q', Q''')$ as

$$w_e = 1 - \sum_{j \in Q' \cup Q''} x_j^F. \quad (14)$$

Note that $w_e \geq 0$ as $Q' \cup Q'''$ is a subset of a clique in the formulation. Now the minimum weight directed odd cycle in $H'$ passing through $x_{v1}$ yields the alternating-path substitution for $x_{v1}$ corresponding to the most violated constraint (11).

Note that the algorithm described in the proof is in fact a modification of the standard algorithm for separating odd-hole inequalities [9], but in this primal setting we have to deal with directed graphs instead of undirected ones, and every cycle of $H'$ passing through $x_{v1}$ is odd.

**Observation 29.** If $F$ contains an inequality for each clique of $G$ of size at most $h$, then Proposition 28 gives an exact polynomial time primal separation procedure for all the inequalities of type (11). Note that for $h = 3$, inequalities of type (11) include all the odd-hole and the odd-wheel inequalities. The standard separation for these inequalities is also possible in polynomial time with a minor change of the procedure given in Proposition 28.

Finally, we shall briefly mention a possible way to exploit a solution algorithm for the weighted stable set problem. Suppose we are in the situation where an integral tableau for a maximum weighted stable set is given, but the linear-programming certificate for optimality is still missing, as in Example 21. The idea is to substitute columns with positive reduced cost along odd alternating paths of cliques, until a tableau is obtained where each column has nonpositive reduced cost. In line with this idea, a criterion for finding an alternating-path substitution, alternative to the one of Proposition 28, is given by the following.

**Problem 30 (Column substitution problem).** Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and $S \subseteq V$ a stable set in $G$. Let $(x^I, z^I)$ be a basic feasible solution corresponding to $S$ in a formulation $F$. Let $x_{v1}$ be a nonbasic variable in $N$ with positive reduced cost. Does there exist an odd alternating path of cliques $P = (v_1, Q_2, 3, \ldots, Q_{2l+2})$ in the given formulation, such that the substitution along $P$ yields a tableau where all the new columns have nonpositive reduced cost?

If such an odd path $P$ exists, then we know that we can replace the nonbasic variable $x_1$ of positive reduced cost by new columns, according to Lemma 20, all having nonpositive reduced cost.
Corollary 31. Problem 30, restricted to the case \( R = \emptyset \), can be solved in polynomial time.

Proof. We consider a variant of the construction in the proof of Proposition 28. After constructing \( H' \), we remove every arc \( e = (Q', Q'') \) that would give rise to a nonbasic variable of positive reduced cost in the substitution. Then every directed odd cycle in \( H' \) passing through \( v_1 \) corresponds to an odd alternating path of cliques with the required properties.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, we have presented graph theoretical transformations that, at the expense of enlarging a given graph \( G \), can produce a perfect graph, and a weight preserving map for each of its stable sets to a stable set of \( G \). The graph transformations have a natural analogue in an integral tableau setting and result in replacing a column of the tableau with a set of new columns. These results provide the foundations for a solution algorithm for the maximum weight stable set problem based on a primal simplex method with all integral pivots. Identification procedures that perform these operations in polynomial time in the tableau size are important building blocks for such an algorithm. A number of them are presented in the paper.
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